

Remarks:

Applicant appreciates the allowance of Claims 1, 6, 8 and 9.

Claim 10 has been amended so as to incorporate the limitations with respect to the wire ties that are in allowed Claim 1. Allowed Claim 1 includes a detail recitation of the opposing panels of the concrete form. Applicant is entitled to a claim that includes the limitations of Claim 1 with respect to the wire ties where the panels are described more generally as in Claim 10.

The rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112 in Claim 10 because of the lack of antecedent basis for the term, "the short axis" has been deleted from Claim 10 so as to overcome that rejection.

The rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) that Claim 10 is anticipated by Miller '794 has been overcome by the recitation of the detailed configuration of the wire ties as set forth in allowed Claim 1 and for that reason the rejection has been overcome.

The rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of Claim 10 was based upon the lack of patentability over Miller '794. Again, the amendment of Claim 10 so as to include the specific recitation of the configuration of the wire ties as in allowed Claim 1 overcomes this rejection.


In light of these amendments, and for the reasons set forth immediately above, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner allow Claim 10, and the two claims dependent thereon, and pass the case to issuance.

Dated this 19 day of November, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

THE ADAMS LAW FIRM

By



Paul Adams
901 Rio Grande Blvd., NW
Suite H262
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87104
(505) 222-3145
(505) 222-3147 *facsimile*

Attorneys for Patentee/Owner